

xcSummarys,

1 The Apollo Program spanned a five-year period during which some of
2 the most astounding "firsts" in the history of mankind were scored. (1)
3 The Apollo, 8 crewmen were the first humans to see the dark side of the (2)
4 moon. The Apollo, 11 Lunar Module Pilot and Commander were the first
5 men to set foot on earth's satellite. (3) The 27, astronauts engaged in
6 the Apollo Program spent a total of 7508, hours in flight. (4) Six of these
7 astronauts orbited the moon alone while their companions carried out their
8 scientific experiments on the lunar surface. On the longest mission,
9 this period of isolation lasted nearly four days. (5) Surprisingly, the
10 psychological break-off phenomenon long suspected to accompany such
11 periods of isolation never occurred. While lunar exploration was felt
12 by all astronauts to be an intense, profound experience, very few
13 individuals experienced any psychological problems after flight, and
14 those few problems that did occur were mild and not unexpected.

16
17j The Apollo Command Module provided a greater living and (6)
18 working volume for the space crews than any previous space vehicles.
19 In general, the astronauts adapted well to operating in this (7)
20 environment. They found that zero, g made locomotion in the craft
21 simple and enjoyable. The only problems associated with movement
22 in a vehicle of this volume were occasional transient motion
23 sickness symptoms which rarely lasted beyond the first few days of
24 flight, and some degree of lower back pain resulting from the
25 tendency to assume the fetal position in sleep. On the lunar

xsurface, the 1/6,g environment also enhanced locomotion, and the
1 lunar surface crews employed a loping gait in adaptation to it.
2 s and a Lunar (10) (11)
3 New suits were surface vehicle enhanced mobility. The radiation (12)
4 exposures experienced were benign. It is suspected, but not
5 clearly confirmed, that the occasional reports of seeing light
6 flashes were associated with heavy radiation particles.
7
8 New and better foods were enjoyed by the Apollo crews. For the (13A)
9 first time, warm food was provided and the astronauts ate with ordinary
10 earth-like utensils in zero,g with much success. Interestingly, however, they
11 required less food in space than was predicted, and partly as a consequence of
12 this, lost weight. (13-B)
13
14j Because of space limitations and technological difficulties, hygiene
15 provisions for the Apollo crews were not markedly better than they were for
16 any previous spacecrew. Crews did find however that some hygiene maintenance
17 tasks like shoving were easier in space than they expected. (14)
18 previous flights, a medical kit was provided, but this time, because of the (15)
19 arrhythmias experienced by the Apollo,15 crew, injectable antiarrhythmic
20 drugs were stowed. Fortunately, these were never used. In this mission,
21 as in previous missions, vital signs were telemetered from space and
22 from the lunar surface to earth. These signs were monitored at all times,
23 including during sleep periods, which tended on the whole to be slightly less
24 shorter and slightly less restful than would have been ideal. With the aid
25 of sleeping medications, however, most crews obtained relatively restful sleep.
The few crewmen who performed inflight exercises found these aided in

3
1 obtaining restful sleep. On the whole, however, the crews were
2 exercise deficient.

3 (16)
4 j The Apollo mission answered the questions raised by the
5 medical legacy of Gemini and Mercury concerning whether the physiological
6 changes seen were a result of confinement or a result of exposure to
7 zero gravity. Since Apollo crews enjoyed a considerable amount of freedom
8 of movement and experienced many of the same problems as earlier
9 crews, confinement had to be ruled out as a factor in the etiology
10 of physiological problems characteristic of space flight exposure.
11 These physiological problems which did occur during the Apollo mission
12 were reversible postflight within two to three days in almost all
13 crewmen with the single exception of the Apollo, 15 crew. The postflight
14 responses, and some of the inflight responses, of this crew were an
15 anomaly in the Apollo Program. In almost all measures, this crew
16 returned to preflight baselines more slowly than any others. They were
17 not fully back to normal until about two weeks after splashdown.

18
19 j The Apollo mission was a mission of many physiological firsts
20 as well. For the first time, (17) vestibular-related problems were noted.
21 These ranged from feelings of stomach awareness to frank motion
22 sickness with nausea and vomiting. In one instance, however, the
23 most severe symptoms may have been related less to vestibular
24 function than to illness. The Apollo, 8 crew, two of whom experienced
25 severe symptoms, both had viral illnesses inflight. This was the first

3

2
21

1 occasion on which an astronaut was ill inflight. Other minor
2 illnesses were reported, but these were all manageable with
3 medications available onboard and consultations with ground-based flight
4 surgeons.

5
6 The other unexpected inflight disorder was a rather alarming series of
7 cardiac arrhythmias experienced by two of the Apollo, 15 crew. These
8 arrhythmias have been linked to potassium deficits and fatigue. In
9 one crewman, coronary artery disease also may have played a part.
10 A program involving post^u potassium enriched diets preflight and inflight for
11 the Apollo, 16 and 17 crews appears to have had substantial benefit in
12 preventing the serious consequences of potassium deficits. While
13 occasional pre-ventricular contractions were seen in these crews,
14 these were within the normal range, and no serious arrhythmias were
15 noted. Crew of the Apollo, 11 and 12 and Lunar samples from these
16 missions were quarantined postflight against the remote possibility of
17 contamination of the earth's biosphere with lunar organisms. After
18 21 days of isolation and testing, no organisms could be identified.
19 The experience with quarantine philosophy and procedures gained will
20 help immeasurably when the quarantine requirement for a Mars
21 mission must be met.
22

23
24 Among the physiological changes noted postflight, the most
25 important have been (1), decreased cardiovascular responsiveness,
(2), reduced red blood cell mass, (3), musculoskeletal deterioration,

1 xand (4),,the vestibular changes already noted.

2

3 j In the cardiovascular sphere, heart rates have tended to
4 stabilize at lower levels in zero,g. Postflight, heart rates have
5 been elevated and normalization inhibited. With the exception of the
6 arrhythmias mentioned, cardiac electrical activity recorded inflight
7 has been normal. Postflight studies of the last three Apollo crews,
8 however, suggest that some alteration takes place in electrical
9 activity, but how and when these changes occurred inflight awaits
10 elucidation from Skylab data. (19) Cardiac silhouette size has been
11 found to be decreased postflight for virtually all crewmen except
12 the Apollo,17 Lunar Module Pilot who, incidentally, wore an
13 antihypotensive garment during the final phases of flight. The garment
14 may have aided in warding off cardiovascular deconditioning in this
15 individual or, on the other hand, we may be seeing another example
16 of the individual variability which has been a hallmark of spacecrews.
17 Blood pressure measured postflight has been labile, generally for up
18 to three days, again with the exception of the Apollo,15 crew who
19 required a markedly longer normalization period. (20) Orthostatic tolerance
20 tests and work capacity tests which reveal cardiovascular and
21 cardiopulmonary status postflight have consistently indicated transient
22 deterioration. Inflight orthostatic tolerance testing and work capacity
23 testing in Skylab should shed light upon the time course and nature
24 of these changes as they occur during weightlessness.
25

5
2

1 xj The only persistent hematological changes which have occurred
2 were transient increase in postflight white blood cell count and reduced
3 red blood cell mass loss. The former is of little significance, but the
4 latter may be very important. (2) In the Apollo, 15 crew these conclusion
5 recorded until about two weeks postflight. The precise
6 mechanism of red blood cell mass decrement is still unclear. There
7 is evidence to suggest that both hemolysis and suppression of
8 erythropoiesis both occur, with perhaps hemolysis being the more
9 important factor. Here, too, Skylab data should bring us closer
10 to the answer.

11
12 j Muscle mass deterioration clearly occurs during weightless space
13 flight. This is confirmed postflight by reduced limb girth and
14 negative nitrogen and potassium balances. Inflight samples collected on
15 Apollo, 17 confirm a loss of body protein. There is some evidence,
16 using various investigative tools, to suggest that slight loss of bone
17 minerals are also occurring.

18
19 j The vestibular problem already discussed occasioned some concern
20 for the future. Future spacecrews may be even more prone to
21 vestibular disturbance than Apollo crews have been because many well
22 be drawn from the non-military, scientist population and cannot be
23 expected to have the required resistance to motion sickness that
24 people with test pilot experience, like the Apollo astronauts, have
25 As a consequence, the possibility of preadapting the vestibular response

6
7

1 of such individuals to the effects of zero gravity is being studied.
2 Additional future studies are needed in this area to provide definitive
3 answers.

4

5 j In addition to the key physiological findings discussed above,
6 other changes have been seen in conjunction with space flight
7 experience.

8

9 j The diet of Apollo astronauts was adequate in terms of 22
10 calories, vitamins, and minerals provided. However, crewmembers lost
11 weight as a result of a hypocaloric regimen inflight and as a result
12 of the tendency to lose body tissue under hypogravic conditions.
13 Apollo crewmen lost an average of about six pounds per man. About
14 of this weight loss can
15 60, percent to fat be attributed to water loss,
16 about 30, percent to fat loss, and about 10, percent to loss of
17 muscle mass. Because of the deficits in total body potassium noted
18 postflight, Skylab foods have been designed so that they are
19 naturally richer in potassium. These diets are providing between 85 and
20 100, meq per day of potassium. Metabolism was measured only indirectly
21 for Apollo crews during weightless space flight. On the lunar surface,
22 energy production was inferred from the heat produced in the liquid
23 cooling garment of the lunar activity suit. The hourly average energy
24 production on the moon was estimated to be between 900 and
25 1200, BTU's.

j Characteristic features of the endocrine-electrolyte response to

7

7
6

1 space flight in Apollo crews were elevated aldosterone production
2 and fluid compartment shifts. Increased aldosterone production appears
3 to be one manifestation of man's adaptation to prolonged weightlessness.
4 Fluid compartment loss have varied from crew to crew (27)
5 comparison of Apollo, 14 and 17 fluid shifts illustrates this vari-
6 variationality. In Apollo, 14 the principal fluid loss was extracellular
7 fluid. Apollo, 17 findings were diametrically opposed, with
8 intracellular fluid actually increasing in volume.

9
10 j No changes have been seen in the immunological sphere which
11 would suggest any alteration in man's ability to combat infection
12 or repair traumatized tissue in a space flight environment or after
13 return to earth. His minor flora have undergone some changes. There
14 seems to be a general decrease in anaerobic bacteria and an increase in
15 aerobic bacteria. Organisms, especially Staphylococcus aureus, tend
16 to spread across crewmembers. Fungal isolates have decreased in number
17 and higher carrier states are indicated for mycoplasma (28) Twenty organisms
18 of medical significance have been isolated from Apollo crews. While
19 the etiology of the changes is unclear they are not of a character to cause
20 any undue concern. It should be noted, however, the microbial loads
21 returned to preflight norms during the early postflight period.

22
23
24 j At the close of the Apollo Program sufficient information was
25 available to form the basis of a hypothesis concerning man's adaptive
response to weightlessness. This is basically a three stage process. The

1 xfirst stage is a "stress" stage wherein the body responds to a
2 redistribution in circulating blood volume by decreasing
3 antidiuretic hormone secretion and aldosterone production in an effort
4 to reduce fluid volume. This p^resumably would result in a diuresis.
5 Inflight samples, however, taken on Apollo,17 showed no evidence
6 of this postulated diuresis. The next stage of the process, the
7 adaptation stage, is thought to be characterized by a loss of water
8 and salt, and a concomitant loss of body weight. This produces a
9 secondary aldosteronism. Again, however, Apollo samples indicated no
10 saluresis. Following the increase in aldosterone production, salts
11 are thought to be retained while potassium loss continues with an
12 intracellular exchange of potassium and hydrogen ions. This change
13 might affect cardiac muscle. Respiratory and renal compensation are
14 then thought to halt the weight loss trend at which point the body
15 enters the adaptive stage wherein it is stabilized with a new effective
16 circulating blood volume and electrolyte balance. We believe it is
17 in this stage that we find man after about two weeks of space flight
18 exposure. Clearly, certain contradictions exist in this theory. It
19 is hoped that Skylab results will qualify these and give us a clear
20 picture of man's adaptive response to zero,g. — *Return to normal*

21
22 j Data from the Apollo Program has provided a sound basis upon
23 which to commit man to two months of space flight. After two months
24 exposure during the Skylab mission, we should have a sufficiently sound
25 basis upon which to predict if man can tolerate space flight

1 xhabitation for the period of time required to complete a mars
2 mission, about two and one-half years. I personally believe that
3 six months of inflight data would provide an adequate basis for
4 safe projection. In this time, all physical changes of a
5 progressive nature could be identified. Certainly any aspects of
6 the environment which had deleterious effects, both physiological and
7 psychological, would become obvious. Once we understand the mechanism
8 of man's response to space, and the Apollo Program has provided a
9 fund of information toward this end, we will be able to provide man
10 with the proper countermeasures if he needs them to enable him
11 to venture still further into the solar system.s,

12
13^x

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25