

STATS

CHANGE

LEG VOLUME DATA SUMMARY REPORT

- I. COMPARISON OF 0 MINUTE HEAD-DOWN TILT TO 30 MINUTE AND 60 MINUTE HEAD-DOWN TILT USING LEG VOLUME DATA
- II. COMPARISON OF 30° HEAD-DOWN TILT TEST TO 20° HEAD-DOWN TILT TEST USING LEG VOLUME DATA

STATS

Glenn,
This is for Dr.
Thornton.

JUNE 1997

I. COMPARISON OF 0 MINUTE HEAD-DOWN TILT TO 30 MINUTE AND 60 MINUTE HEAD-DOWN TILT USING LEG VOLUME DATA

Summary of Results

In order to compare 0 minute head-down tilt (HDT) to 30 and 60 minute HDT, a total of six Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) tests were performed. ANOVA is a statistical technique designed to compare three or more data samples (sets) in order to detect a difference in two or more of them.

Two head-down tests were evaluated independently, i.e., 20 degree HDT and 30 degree HDT. It was verified that the data collected from each test met all criteria necessary to perform ANOVA.

For each HDT test, three ANOVA's were performed, one test corresponding to each of three body section's, e.g. total lower leg, total upper leg, and total leg. In all six ANOVA's, at the 5% significance level no significant difference was detected between 0 minute, 30 minute, and 60 minute tilt. It can be concluded that 30 minute and 60 minute head-down tilt protocols have no more significant influence on leg volume measurements than 0 minute head-down tilt - regardless of the body section measured.

With extremely small sample sizes such as the ones used in each of the tests, the significance of statistical results can be influenced by the addition of just a few data points. While all the ANOVA's indicate that there is not a significant difference between 0 and 30 or 60 minute protocols, these results could change if larger data sets were used. It is strongly recommended that the sample sizes be increased if possible.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Test Criterion and Results

30 DEGREE HEAD-DOWN TILT

"H" - Total Lower Leg (Rt + Lt)	Are the data points independent?	Normally distributed?	Variances equal?	Significantly different from 0min?	ANOVA p-value
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.999
"I" - Total Upper leg (Rt + Lt)					
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.9966
"J" - Total Leg (Rt + Lt)					
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.9944

20 DEGREE HEAD-DOWN TILT

"H" - Total Lower Leg (Rt + Lt)	Are the data points independent?	Normally distributed?	Variances equal?	Significantly different from 0min?	ANOVA p-value
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.9039
"I" - Total Upper Leg (Rt + Lt)					
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.9982
"J" - Total Leg (Rt + Lt)					
30min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
60min	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.9973

Analysis of Variance for 30 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Lower Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	2231.80767678	0.00	0.9990
Error	12	12780801.920571		
Corrected Total	14	12783033.728248		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.000175	21.36520	4830.3825333

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	2231.80767679	0.00	0.9990

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 1065067
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 1422.1

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	4841.7	5	0min
A	4836.0	5	60min
A	4813.4	5	30min

*P-values above 0.05
indicate that no significant
difference exists between
the three groups.*

Analysis of Variance for 30 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Upper Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	21454.5462958	0.00	0.9966
Error	12	37594162.549952		
Corrected Total	14	37615617.096248		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.000570	14.44601	12252.416733

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	21454.5462961	0.00	0.9966

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 3132847
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 2439

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	12300	5	60min
A			
A	12251	5	30min
A			
A	12207	5	0min

Analysis of Variance for 30 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	18616.3356980	0.01	0.9944
Error	12	19909133.569916		
Corrected Total	14	19927749.905614		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.000934	6.691727	19248.518800

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	18616.3356981	0.01	0.9944

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 1659094
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 1774.9

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	19290.9	5	60min
A			
A	19250.0	5	30min
A			
A	19204.7	5	0min

Analysis of Variance for 20 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Lower Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	310886.611522	0.10	0.9039
Error	12	18311029.747228		
Corrected Total	14	18621916.358750		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.016695	28.69078	4305.4974667

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	310886.611522	0.10	0.9039

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 1525919
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 1702.2

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	4422.1	5	30min
A	4391.8	5	60min
A	4102.7	5	0min

Analysis of Variance for 20 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Upper Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Analysis of Variance Procedure

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	32348.6222124	0.00	0.9982
Error	12	107899269.80129		
Corrected Total	14	107931618.42350		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.000300	29.60687	10128.056000

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	32348.6222124	0.00	0.9982

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 8991606
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 4132.1

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	10175	5	0min
A			
A	10145	5	30min
A			
A	10065	5	60min

Analysis of Variance for 20 Degree Head-down Tilt Data
Total Leg Volume Data

Analysis of Variance Procedure
Class Level Information

Class	Levels	Values
TILT	3	0min 30min 60min

Number of observations in data set = 15

Dependent Variable: MEAS

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	F Value	Pr > F
Model	2	70212.6462847	0.00	0.9973
Error	12	155195964.43620		
Corrected Total	14	155266177.08248		

R-Square	C.V.	MEAS Mean
0.000452	22.39277	16059.857000

Source	DF	Anova SS	F Value	Pr > F
TILT	2	70212.6462851	0.00	0.9973

T tests (LSD) for variable: MEAS

NOTE: This test controls the type I comparisonwise error rate
not the experimentwise error rate.

Alpha= 0.05 df= 12 MSE= 12932997
Critical Value of T= 2.18
Least Significant Difference= 4955.6

Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

T Grouping	Mean	N	TILT
A	16133	5	0min
A			
A	16078	5	30min
A			
A	15968	5	60min

II. COMPARISON OF 30° HEAD-DOWN TILT TEST TO 20° HEAD-DOWN TILT TEST USING LEG VOLUME DATA

Summary of Results

Comparison of 20° to 30° head-down tilt (HDT) involved nine separate t-tests. In all tests, no significant difference was found between 20° to 30° HDT for any time level at any body region.

Summary of Independent t-test Analysis - Comparison of 20° Head-down Tilt to 30° Head-down Tilt at Three Different Time Levels for Three Different Body Sections

Comparison of 20° to 30° HDT	t - statistic	p-value	Significant at alpha = 0.05
Total Lower Leg			
0min	-1.1307	0.2909	No
30min	-0.5233	0.6150	No
60min	-0.5895	0.5718	No
Total Upper Leg			
0min	-1.3113	0.2261	No
30min	-1.3535	0.2129	No
60min	-1.4273	0.1914	No
Total (U & L) Leg			
0min	-1.7823	0.1126	No
30min	-1.8591	0.1001	No
60min	-1.9598	0.0857	No

Discussion

At the 5% significance level, it can be concluded that the leg volume averages are not significantly different at any of the body sections tested. Only a few people were measured, and perhaps a larger set of data would have given a more accurate picture of the populations. To improve the accuracy of the above test results, larger sample sizes are necessary.

Comparison of 30 Degree and 20 Degree HDT at Three Measurement
Locations During Various Time Lengths of Tilt

TTEST PROCEDURE

***** TYPE=lower TILT=0min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	4102.653000	1045.228478	467.4403856	Unequal	-1.1307	8.0	0.2909
30degree	5	4841.670600	1021.437633	456.8007962	Equal	-1.1307	8.0	0.2909

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 1.05$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.9655 *for values above 0.05 use equal variances*

***** TYPE=lower TILT=30min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	4422.060800	1313.110950	587.2410694	Unequal	-0.5233	7.6	0.6158
30degree	5	4813.441800	1035.760796	463.2063097	Equal	-0.5233	8.0	0.6150

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 1.61$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.6570

***** TYPE=lower TILT=60min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	4391.778600	1327.024679	593.4634779	Unequal	-0.5895	7.6	0.5728
30degree	5	4836.035200	1038.780639	464.5568246	Equal	-0.5895	8.0	0.5718

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 1.63$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.6468

***** TYPE=total TILT=0min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	16133.00720	3647.247370	1631.098610	Unequal	-1.7823	4.9	0.1360
30degree	5	19204.65100	1244.512980	556.563124	Equal	-1.7823	8.0	0.1126

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 8.59$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.0607

***** TYPE=total TILT=30min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	16078.12760	3599.197378	1609.610000	Unequal	-1.8591	5.0	0.1225
30degree	5	19249.99920	1264.993670	565.722367	Equal	-1.8591	8.0	0.1001

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 8.10$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.0672

***** TYPE=total TILT=60min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	15968.43620	3541.518879	1583.815391	Unequal	-1.9598	5.1	0.1060
30degree	5	19290.90620	1352.132335	604.691963	Equal	-1.9598	8.0	0.0857

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 6.86$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.0889

***** TYPE=upper TILT=0min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	10174.76380	2998.858975	1341.130505	Unequal	-1.3113	6.4	0.2350
30degree	5	12207.03500	1736.874610	776.753939	Equal	-1.3113	8.0	0.2261

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 2.98$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.3152

***** TYPE=upper TILT=30min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	10144.68520	3022.394467	1351.655897	Unequal	-1.3535	6.4	0.2223
30degree	5	12250.59580	1723.009988	770.553492	Equal	-1.3535	8.0	0.2129

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 3.08$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.3019

***** TYPE=upper TILT=60min *****

Variable: MEAS

TEST	N	Mean	Std Dev	Std Error	Variances	T	DF	Prob> T
20degree	5	10064.71900	2974.356062	1330.172469	Unequal	-1.4273	6.7	0.1987
30degree	5	12299.61940	1847.442504	826.201405	Equal	-1.4273	8.0	0.1914

For H0: Variances are equal, $F' = 2.59$ DF = (4,4) Prob>F' = 0.3787