WITH SKYLAB, MASS MEASUREMENT IN SPACE FLIGHT

BECAME A MORE OR LESS ROUTINE OPERATION. THIS WAS NOT

ALWAYS TRUE. INDEED THIS WAS THE FIRST EXAMPLE OF SUCH

MEASUREMENT. ONE OF THE FIRST SIGNIFICANT AND CONSISTENT

noted

CHANGES SEEN IN CREWMEN RETURNING FROM SPACE FLIGHT

WAS A WEIGHT LOSS WHICH SOMETIME SEEMED TO BE A

There Were other associated function of flight property many variables, however this plus inability to make in flight measurements resulted in the measurements.

OF THESE LOSSES INCLUDING: (1) A SHIFT OF FLUIDS CEPHLAD

AFTER REMOVAL OF GRAVITATIONAL FORCES WITH DUMPING

OF THIS APPARENTLY EXCESS FLUID THROUGH DIURESIS;

- (2) FLUID LOSS THROUGH SWEATING FROM INCREASED

  ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES AND OTHER STRESSES;
- (3) INADEQUATE FLUID OR FOOD INTAKE.

IN 1965, IT WAS OBVIOUS THAT DANS INFLIGHT CREW BODY

(AND INTAKE-OUTPUT)

crewmen and their intake-output

MASS MEASUREMENTS WOULD BE REQUIRED TO SERVALLY DOCUMENT THESE CHANGES AND DETERMINE WHICH Acrospace MECHANISMS WERE RESPONSIBLE. AT THE AIR FORCE'S MEDICAL DIVISION, WE CONCLUDED THAT DEVELOPMENT OF A NON-GRAVIMETRIC MASS MEASURING DEVICE WAS OF FIRST PRIORITY TO INVESTIGATION OF THIS FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM, AND I BEGAN SUCH DEVELOPMENT. BY 1966 A DEVICE FOR MEASURING MASS OF SPECIMENS OVER THE RANGE OF 25 GRAMS TO 1 KILOGRAM AND A LARGER ONE COVERING THE RANGE OF 1/2 TO 100 KILOGRAMS WERE COMPLETED. SKYLAB HAS BEEN THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR PERFORMANCE IN FLIGHT. SINCE THE METHOD OF MASS MEASUREMENT USED IS A FUNDAMENTAL DEPARTURE FROM WEIGHING MACHINES, THE TECHNIQUE WILL BE DESCRIBED.

MAN HAS BEEN USING THE GRAVIMETRIC BALANCE OR SCALES

(FOR AT LEAST)

FOR AT LEAST 5, 000 YEARS. IT IS SUCH A SIMPLE, EFFICIENT, AND ACCURATE METHOD THAT NO ALTERNATIVE DEVICES WERE AVAILABLE OR NEEDED. IT IS ONLY WHEN ONE ATTEMPTS TO DEVELOP AN ALTERNATIVE TO WEIGHING THAT THE METHOD IS TRULY APPRECIATED. THE ONLY PRACTICAL ALTERNATIVE TO GRAVIMETRIC ATTRACTION OF MASS IS SOME MEASURE OF ITS INERTIAL PROPERTY. IN 1965, THE METHOD THAT WAS CHOSEN, A METHOD HEAVILY BIASED BY SIZE AND WEIGHT REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS BY MY PREVIOUS ELECTRONIC EXPERIENCE, WAS A MASS DEPENDENT TRANSLATIONAL MECHANICAL OSCILLATOR.

SLIDE \_\_\_\_ IS A SCHEMATIC OF THE METHOD USED. A

SAMPLE MASS IS CONSTRAINED TO LINEAR MOTION BETWEEN

TWO SPRINGS. IF THE MASS IS DISPLACED FROM ITS REST OR

STABLE POSITION AND RELEASED, IT WILL UNDERGO VIRTUALLY

(UNDAMPED NATURAL)

UNDAMPED NATURAL OSCILLATION WHOSE FREQUENCY IS A

FUNCTION ONLY OF THE MASS AND SPRING CONSTANTS. AN

ELECTRO-OPTICAL DETECTOR AND COUNTER TIMES EACH

CROSSING OF THE ZERO DISPLACEMENT POINT ALLOWING

ACCURATE MEASUREMENT OF THE PERIOD OF OSCILLATION.

BY CALIBRATING THE DEVICE WITH A SERIES OF KNOWN MASSES,

THE MASS OF AN UNKNOWN SAMPLE CAN BE DETERMINED FROM

ITS PERIOD OF OSCILLATION.

SUCH A TECHNIQUE ALLOWS REASONABLE ACCURACIES

WITH SOLID MASSES -- FOR EXAMPLE, IT IS NOT PARTICULARLY

DIFFICULT TO OBTAIN. 01% OR MORE. CONVERSELY, THIS

TECHNIQUE HAS SEVERAL INHERENT LIMITATIONS. ANY MOTION

(JITTER) OF THE SUPPORTING MECHANISM OR OF THE SPECIMEN

WILL PRODUCE ERRORS. ANY NON-RIGIDITY OF SPECIMEN (SLOSH)

WHICH ALLOWS SECONDARY OSCILLATIONS NEAR THE PRIMARY

(FREQUENCY)

FREQUENCY WILL PRODUCE ERRORS ALSO. THUS, MEASUREMENT
OF ITEMS SUCH AS LIQUIDS AND OF THE HUMAN BODY REQUIRE
SPECIAL ARRANGEMENT.

ALTHOUGH EXISTING VIBRATION STUDIES OF THE HUMAN BODY SHOW THAT IT BEHAVES AS A SINGLE RIGID MASS BELOW ONE CYCLE PER SECOND, THIS PROVED NOT TO BE THE CASE.

THE FREQUENCY OF OSCILLATION HAD TO BE LOWERED TO LESS THAN ONE HALF CYCLE SECOND, AND THE BODY FOLDED INTO THE MOST RIGID CONFIGURATION POSSIBLE.

MOTION PICTURE

will show you a short film made in the S.L. trainer demonstrating the devices-

THE COMPLETE ENVELOPE OF PERFORMANCE OF THIS METHOD,

BUT SINCE ONLY THE INVESTIGATOR HAS DISPLAYED ANY INTEREST

IN THIS ASPECT IT WILL NOT BE MENTIONED EXCEPT TO SAY

THAT ACCURACIES OBTAINED ARE MORE THAN ADEQUATE FOR

ANY CURRENT MEDICAL INVESTIGATIONS -- A FEW GRAMS FOR

\$\frac{\pmax}{2000} = \frac{\pmax}{2000} = \fra

OPERATION ON SKYLAB HAS BEEN MORE OR LESS ROUTINE.

THERE WAS A GAP OF THE FIRST FEW DAYS ON SKYLAB-2

DURING VEHICLE REPAIRS. VIRTUALLY NO UNEATEN FOOD HAS

BEEN LEFT TO MEASURE.

NO. 51-2,

THE ORDER OF THE FIRST FEW DAYS ON SKYLAB-2

CREW MEMBER OF SLY3. ALL FECAL SAMPLES WERE ROUTINELY

MEASURED BUT SHOWED LITTLE EXCEPT THE MARKED VARIATION

(IN HABITS OF)

IN HABITS OF INDIVIDUAL CREWMEN. OTHER MASS MEASUREMENTS

HAVE BEEN ROUTINELY MADE IN SUPPORT OF SPACECRAFT

OPERATIONS INCLUDING URINE POOLS AND THE AMOUNT OF

COOLANT FLUID ADDED TO THE REFRIGERANT SYSTEM.

BODY MASSES HAVE BEEN THE MOST REVEALING. AS A
BASELINE SUCH MASSES WERE MADE GRAVIMETRICALLY ON
SMEAT -- THE GROUND-BASED CHAMBER SIMULATION.

GRAPHS OF THE CREWMEN'S BODY WEIGHTS WHILE ON THE SKYLAB DIET ARE SHOWN HERE. (SLIDES \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, AND \_\_\_\_.)

NEXT ARE SHOWN THE RESULTS FROM SL-2. THE DATA

HAS BEEN SMOOTHED BY PLOTTING A 3-DAY SLIDING AVERAGE.

WEIGHTS ON BEGINNING THE DIET, ON LAUNCH, AND ON RECOVERY

ARE HIGHLIGHTED. THESE POINTS ARE GRAVIMETRIC WEIGHTS.

\$L-2 CDR LOSS CURVE IS TYPICAL OF ALL THREE CREWMEN ON

THIS MISSION. THERE WAS A SMALL BUT DEFINITE LOSS DURING

THE CONTROL PERIOD (I.E., WHILE ON THE SKYLAB DIET AND

(IN QUARANTINE).)

\*\*

IN QUARANTINE). AFTER LAUNCH THIS RATE OF INCREASE

ACCELERATED BUT REMAINED MORE OR LESS CONSTANT EXCEPT

FOR SHARP DROPS ASSOCIATED WITH EVA'S. FOLLOWING

RECOVERY THERE WAS A RAPID INCREASE, ACCOMPANIED BY

AN OVERSHOOT WHICH, ALTHOUGH NOT SHOWN HERE, PLATEAUED

TO A VALUE SOME TWO PLUS POUNDS BELOW LAUNCH WEIGHT.

(SLIDE \_\_\_\_\_) THE PLT'S CURVE HAS THE SAME GENERAL SHAPE

WITHOUT THE POSTFLIGHT OVERSHOOT WHILE THE SPT'S CURVE

IS MORE VARIABLE.

THERE WERE MARKED DIFFERENCES IN SL-2 CURVES IN

TWO OF THE SL-3 CREWMEN -- CDR AND PLT. (SLIDE \_\_\_\_\_) AFTER

THE FIRST DAY OF THE STABILIZATION PERIOD THERE WAS NO

LOSS OR POSSIBLY A SLIGHT GAIN. INFLIGHT THERE WAS AN

INITIAL LOSS FOLLOWED BY A LONG STABLE PERIOD UNTIL

JUST PRIOR TO THE END OF THE MISSION WHEN A RAPID RATE OF

LOSS (SLIDE \_\_\_\_\_) OCCURRED. THE SPT HAD A SLIGHT LOSS

(DURING THE)

DURING THE CONTROL PERIOD, A SLOW LOSS WHICH CONTINUED INFLIGHT AFTER A MARKED DECREMENT OVER THE FIRST FEW DAYS. AFTER RECOVERY WE SEE THE TYPICAL RAPID INCREASE FOLLOWED BY A PLATEAU OR INFLECTION.

THERE IS ONE OBVIOUS PATTERN OF LOSS HERE WHICH WAS

ALSO SEEN IN THE CONTROL STUDY. CONVERSELY, THERE ARE

MORE SUBTLE PATTERNS, ESPECIALLY THE RAPID SHIFTS WHICH

MUST BE INTERPRETED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GREAT DEAL

OF OTHER DATA, SOME OF WHICH UNFORTUNATELY DOESN'T

EXIST. THIS ADDITIONAL DATA SHOULD INCLUDE INTAKE-OUTPUT

STUDIES, PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE INDIVIDUAL CREWMEN,

AMOUNT OF EXERCISE, LEAN BODY AND FAT STUDIES,

ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS, AND MUSCLE FUNCTION.

FOR EXAMPLE, IT IS VERY TEMPTING TO POSTULATE THAT
THE RAPID CHANGES SEEN FOR THE FIRST FEW DAYS AFTER
ORBITAL INSERTION AND AFTER RECOVERY ARE FLUID SHIFTS.

(HOWEVER, )

HOWEVER, ON SL-3/4, WE HAVE TWO CREWMEMBERS WHO

EXPERIENCED NORMAL CONDITIONS ON EXPOSURE TO

WEIGHTLESSNESS (I. E., THEY DID NOT HAVE NAUSEA, ANOREXIA,

OR EXCESS ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES). THERE WAS NO

SUCH RAPID LOSS OF WEIGHT SEEN. CONVERSELY, THE CREWMAN

WHO HAD NAUSEA SHOWED A "TYPICAL" RAPID LOSS OF A FEW

POUNDS FOR THE FIRST FEW DAYS. WE ARE ATTEMPTING A

SERIES OF ADDED STUDIES ON SL-4 TO ATTEMPT TO DOCUMENT

ANY FLUID SHIFTS.

AS OFTEN HAPPENS, THE INFLIGHT MASS MEASUREMENT

DATA FROM SKYLAB DEMONSTRATED COMPLEXITIES AND RAISED

QUESTIONS NOT ANTICIPATED PRIOR TO SKYLAB. MOST OF THE

LOSS WILL BE EXPLAINED BY THESE AND COROLLARY MEASUREMENTS.

EQUALLY IMPORTANT ATTENTION WILL BE PROPERLY FOCUSED

ON THE REMAINING UNKNOWNS SUCH THAT PROPER INVESTIGATION

MAY BE IMPLEMENTED.

(FINALLY,)