

DR. THORNTON

WITH SKYLAB, MASS MEASUREMENT IN SPACE FLIGHT

BECAME A MORE OR LESS ROUTINE OPERATION. THIS WAS NOT

ALWAYS TRUE. INDEED THIS WAS THE FIRST EXAMPLE OF SUCH

MEASUREMENT. ONE OF THE FIRST SIGNIFICANT AND CONSISTENT

noted
CHANGES SEEN IN CREWMEN RETURNING FROM SPACE FLIGHT

WAS A WEIGHT LOSS WHICH SOMETIME SEEMED TO BE A

There were other associated
FUNCTION OF FLIGHT DURATION *with many variables, however,**This close stability to make in flight measurements*
~~THERE WERE SEVERAL THEORIES CONCERNING THE MECHANISM~~

OF THESE LOSSES INCLUDING: (1) A SHIFT OF FLUIDS CEPHALAD

AFTER REMOVAL OF GRAVITATIONAL FORCES WITH DUMPING

OF THIS APPARENTLY EXCESS FLUID THROUGH DIURESIS;

(2) FLUID LOSS THROUGH SWEATING FROM INCREASED

ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES AND OTHER STRESSES;

(3) INADEQUATE FLUID OR FOOD INTAKE.

IN 1965, IT WAS OBVIOUS THAT ~~INFLIGHT CREW BODY~~

(AND INTAKE-OUTPUT)

of crewmen and their intake-output
SPECIAL ABOUT MASS MEASUREMENTS, WOULD BE REQUIRED
study
TO SYSTEMATICALLY DOCUMENT THESE CHANGES AND DETERMINE WHICH

MECHANISMS WERE RESPONSIBLE. AT THE AIR FORCE'S *Aerospace* MEDICAL

DIVISION, WE CONCLUDED THAT DEVELOPMENT OF A NON-

GRAVIMETRIC MASS MEASURING DEVICE WAS OF FIRST PRIORITY

TO INVESTIGATION OF THIS FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEM, AND

I BEGAN SUCH DEVELOPMENT. BY 1966 A DEVICE FOR MEASURING

MASS OF SPECIMENS OVER THE RANGE OF 25 GRAMS TO 1 KILOGRAM

AND A LARGER ONE COVERING THE RANGE OF 1/2 TO 100 KILOGRAMS

WERE COMPLETED. SKYLAB HAS BEEN THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY

TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR PERFORMANCE IN FLIGHT. SINCE

THE METHOD OF MASS MEASUREMENT USED IS A FUNDAMENTAL

DEPARTURE FROM WEIGHING MACHINES, THE TECHNIQUE WILL

BE DESCRIBED.

MAN HAS BEEN USING THE GRAVIMETRIC BALANCE OR SCALES

(FOR AT LEAST)

FOR AT LEAST 5,000 YEARS. IT IS SUCH A SIMPLE, EFFICIENT,
AND ACCURATE METHOD THAT NO ALTERNATIVE DEVICES
WERE AVAILABLE OR NEEDED. IT IS ONLY WHEN ONE ATTEMPTS
TO DEVELOP AN ALTERNATIVE TO WEIGHING THAT THE METHOD
IS TRULY APPRECIATED. THE ONLY PRACTICAL ALTERNATIVE
TO GRAVIMETRIC ATTRACTION OF MASS IS SOME MEASURE OF
ITS INERTIAL PROPERTY. IN 1965, THE METHOD THAT WAS
CHOSEN, A METHOD HEAVILY BIASED BY SIZE AND WEIGHT
REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS BY MY PREVIOUS ELECTRONIC
EXPERIENCE, WAS A MASS DEPENDENT TRANSLATIONAL
MECHANICAL OSCILLATOR.

SLIDE IS A SCHEMATIC OF THE METHOD USED. A
SAMPLE MASS IS CONSTRAINED TO LINEAR MOTION BETWEEN
TWO SPRINGS. IF THE MASS IS DISPLACED FROM ITS REST OR
STABLE POSITION AND RELEASED, IT WILL UNDERGO VIRTUALLY
(UNDAMPED NATURAL)

UNDAMPED NATURAL OSCILLATION WHOSE FREQUENCY IS A
FUNCTION ONLY OF THE MASS AND SPRING CONSTANTS. AN
ELECTRO-OPTICAL DETECTOR AND COUNTER TIMES EACH
CROSSING OF THE ZERO DISPLACEMENT POINT ALLOWING
ACCURATE MEASUREMENT OF THE PERIOD OF OSCILLATION.
BY CALIBRATING THE DEVICE WITH A SERIES OF KNOWN MASSES,
THE MASS OF AN UNKNOWN SAMPLE CAN BE DETERMINED FROM
ITS PERIOD OF OSCILLATION.

SUCH A TECHNIQUE ALLOWS REASONABLE ACCURACIES
WITH SOLID MASSES -- FOR EXAMPLE, IT IS NOT PARTICULARLY
DIFFICULT TO OBTAIN .01% OR MORE. CONVERSELY, THIS
TECHNIQUE HAS SEVERAL INHERENT LIMITATIONS. ANY MOTION
approximate
(JITTER) OF THE SUPPORTING MECHANISM OR OF THE SPECIMEN
WILL PRODUCE ERRORS. ANY NON-RIGIDITY OF SPECIMEN (SLOSH)
WHICH ALLOWS SECONDARY OSCILLATIONS NEAR THE PRIMARY

(FREQUENCY)

4
5
FREQUENCY WILL PRODUCE ERRORS ALSO. THUS, MEASUREMENT
OF ITEMS SUCH AS LIQUIDS AND OF THE HUMAN BODY REQUIRE
SPECIAL ARRANGEMENT.

ALTHOUGH EXISTING VIBRATION STUDIES OF THE HUMAN
BODY SHOW THAT IT BEHAVES AS A SINGLE RIGID MASS BELOW
ONE CYCLE PER SECOND, THIS PROVED NOT TO BE THE CASE.
THE FREQUENCY OF OSCILLATION HAD TO BE LOWERED TO
LESS THAN ONE HALF CYCLE SECOND, AND THE BODY FOLDED
INTO THE MOST RIGID CONFIGURATION POSSIBLE.

MOTION PICTURE

I ~~will~~ send you a motion
film made by the S.B. Trainer
demonstrating the device.

EXPERIMENTS NO74/172 WERE ALSO INTENDED TO EXPLORE THE COMPLETE ENVELOPE OF PERFORMANCE OF THIS METHOD, BUT SINCE ONLY THE INVESTIGATOR HAS DISPLAYED ANY INTEREST IN THIS ASPECT IT WILL NOT BE MENTIONED EXCEPT TO SAY THAT ACCURACIES OBTAINED ARE MORE THAN ADEQUATE FOR ANY CURRENT MEDICAL INVESTIGATIONS -- A FEW GRAMS FOR FOOD RESIDUE AND LESS THAN ~~100~~ ^{± 50 gms.} REPEATABILITY FOR BODY MASS WITH ABSOLUTE BODY MASS BETWEEN ~~+.25 TO +1.0~~ ^{+ 100 to +450} POUND, PROBABLY CLOSER TO THE LOWER FIGURE.

OPERATION ON SKYLAB HAS BEEN MORE OR LESS ROUTINE. ~~in a more or less routine manner~~ THERE WAS A GAP OF THE FIRST FEW DAYS ON SKYLAB-2 DURING VEHICLE REPAIRS. VIRTUALLY NO UNEATEN FOOD HAS BEEN LEFT TO MEASURE. ~~NO~~ ¹⁰ ~~ORIGINAL~~ VOMITUS SAMPLES, ~~for~~ ^{on SL-2.} THE ORDER OF 100 MILLIGRAM WERE PRODUCED BY ONE CREWMEMBER ON SL-3. ALL FECAL SAMPLES WERE ROUTINELY MEASURED BUT SHOWED LITTLE EXCEPT THE MARKED VARIATION

(IN HABITS OF)

7

IN HABITS OF INDIVIDUAL CREWMEN. OTHER MASS MEASUREMENTS HAVE BEEN ROUTINELY MADE IN SUPPORT OF SPACECRAFT OPERATIONS INCLUDING URINE POOLS AND THE AMOUNT OF COOLANT FLUID ADDED TO THE REFRIGERANT SYSTEM.

BODY MASSES HAVE BEEN THE MOST REVEALING. AS A BASELINE SUCH MASSES WERE MADE GRAVIMETRICALLY ON SNEAT -- THE GROUND-BASED CHAMBER SIMULATION.

GRAPHS OF THE CREWMEN'S BODY WEIGHTS WHILE ON THE SKYLAB DIET ARE SHOWN HERE. (SLIDES _____, _____, AND _____.)

NEXT ARE SHOWN THE RESULTS FROM SL-2. THE DATA HAS BEEN SMOOTHED BY PLOTTING A 3-DAY SLIDING AVERAGE. WEIGHTS ON BEGINNING THE DIET, ON LAUNCH, AND ON RECOVERY ARE HIGHLIGHTED. THESE POINTS ARE GRAVIMETRIC WEIGHTS. SL-2 CDR LOSS CURVE IS TYPICAL OF ALL THREE CREWMEN ON THIS MISSION. THERE WAS A SMALL BUT DEFINITE LOSS DURING THE CONTROL PERIOD (I. E., WHILE ON THE SKYLAB DIET AND

(IN QUARANTINE).)

IN QUARANTINE). AFTER LAUNCH THIS RATE OF INCREASE ACCELERATED BUT REMAINED MORE OR LESS CONSTANT EXCEPT FOR SHARP DROPS ASSOCIATED WITH EVAP'S. FOLLOWING RECOVERY THERE WAS A RAPID INCREASE, ACCOMPANIED BY AN OVERSHOOT WHICH, ALTHOUGH NOT SHOWN HERE, PLATEAUED TO A VALUE SOME TWO PLUS POUNDS BELOW LAUNCH WEIGHT. (SLIDE ____) THE PLT'S CURVE HAS THE SAME GENERAL SHAPE WITHOUT THE POSTFLIGHT OVERSHOOT WHILE THE SPT'S CURVE IS MORE VARIABLE.

THERE WERE MARKED DIFFERENCES IN SL-2 CURVES IN TWO OF THE SL-3 CREWMEN -- CDR AND PLT. (SLIDE ____) AFTER THE FIRST DAY OF THE STABILIZATION PERIOD THERE WAS NO LOSS OR POSSIBLY A SLIGHT GAIN. INFLIGHT THERE WAS AN INITIAL LOSS FOLLOWED BY A LONG STABLE PERIOD UNTIL JUST PRIOR TO THE END OF THE MISSION WHEN A RAPID RATE OF LOSS (SLIDE ____) OCCURRED. - THE SPT HAD A SLIGHT LOSS

(DURING THE)

DURING THE CONTROL PERIOD, A SLOW LOSS WHICH CONTINUED INFLIGHT AFTER A MARKED DECREMENT OVER THE FIRST FEW DAYS. AFTER RECOVERY WE SEE THE TYPICAL RAPID INCREASE FOLLOWED BY A PLATEAU OR INFLECTION.

THERE IS ONE OBVIOUS PATTERN OF LOSS HERE WHICH WAS ALSO SEEN IN THE CONTROL STUDY. CONVERSELY, THERE ARE MORE SUBTLE PATTERNS, ESPECIALLY THE RAPID SHIFTS WHICH MUST BE INTERPRETED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A GREAT DEAL OF OTHER DATA, SOME OF WHICH UNFORTUNATELY DOESN'T EXIST. THIS ADDITIONAL DATA SHOULD INCLUDE INTAKE OUTPUT STUDIES, PHYSICAL CONDITION OF THE INDIVIDUAL CREWMEN, AMOUNT OF EXERCISE, LEAN BODY AND FAT STUDIES, ANTHROPOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS, AND MUSCLE FUNCTION.

FOR EXAMPLE, IT IS VERY TEMPTING TO POSTULATE THAT THE RAPID CHANGES SEEN FOR THE FIRST FEW DAYS AFTER ORBITAL INSERTION AND AFTER RECOVERY ARE FLUID SHIFTS.

(HOWEVER,)

HOWEVER, ON SL-3/4, WE HAVE TWO CREWMEMBERS WHO EXPERIENCED NORMAL CONDITIONS ON EXPOSURE TO WEIGHTLESSNESS (I.E., THEY DID NOT HAVE NAUSEA, ANOREXIA, OR EXCESS ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES). THERE WAS NO SUCH RAPID LOSS OF WEIGHT SEEN. CONVERSELY, THE CREWMAN WHO HAD NAUSEA SHOWED A "TYPICAL" RAPID LOSS OF A FEW POUNDS FOR THE FIRST FEW DAYS. WE ARE ATTEMPTING A SERIES OF ADDED STUDIES ON SL-4 TO ATTEMPT TO DOCUMENT ANY FLUID SHIFTS.

AS OFTEN HAPPENS, THE INFIGHT MASS MEASUREMENT DATA FROM SKYLAB DEMONSTRATED COMPLEXITIES AND RAISED QUESTIONS NOT ANTICIPATED PRIOR TO SKYLAB. MOST OF THE LOSS WILL BE EXPLAINED BY THESE AND COROLLARY MEASUREMENTS. EQUALLY IMPORTANT ATTENTION WILL BE PROPERLY FOCUSED ON THE REMAINING UNKNOWNNS SUCH THAT PROPER INVESTIGATION MAY BE IMPLEMENTED.

(FINALLY,)

FINALLY, THERE IS THE QUESTION OF MASS MEASUREMENT ITSELF ON FUTURE MISSIONS -- MISSIONS THAT MAY NOT HAVE ALMOST UNLIMITED RESOURCES. THE MASS MEASUREMENT DEVICES FLOWN ON SKYLAB, ARE RELATIVELY CRUDE, OBSOLESCENT, AND EXPENSIVE. IN THE INTERVENING 7 YEARS SINCE THEIR DESIGN, I HAVE DEVELOPED A SERIES OF SMALLER, SIMPLER, AND CHEAPER ALTERNATIVES -- SOME OF WHICH ARE ABOUT TO BE ZERO-G TESTED WHICH SHOULD ALLOW MASS MEASUREMENTS ON VIRTUALLY ANY OBJECT IN ALMOST ANY SPACECRAFT.

THANK YOU.