

Proposed Scoring Systems for Falcon

The following is a brief resume of several proposed ~~scoring~~ systems for determining the firing accuracy of the Falcon series missile. These systems can be roughly classified in ^{divisions} two broad ~~classes~~ ~~those~~ by the types of targets utilized; ~~the~~ ^{real} ~~exact~~ and simulated. The real system ~~would~~ involves a target similar in size to the targets that will be attacked in combat, ~~and that~~ Scoring ~~would be~~ accomplished in this type of system by simply observing hits on the target. In the simulated systems a small target is used ~~which~~ ^{supplies} merely supplies an aim point for the weapons system ^{while} ~~and~~ ~~misses~~ ~~are recorded~~ ~~exactly~~ ~~in~~ distances of rockets ~~from~~ target (miss distance) is recorded as the missiles cross ~~the~~ a plane through the target normal & vertical to the mean longitudinal path of the missile. The simulated system's ~~success~~ success depends entirely upon the ability to determine miss distance accurately. ~~The~~ Methods

by which this is accomplished ~~is~~ also determines to a great extent target configuration and other test factors.

A real target system has the advantage of leaving no doubt ~~as to~~ test results ~~but it has~~ and is almost indispensable in engineering tests. Its very nature however prevents its employment on any reasonable scale outside ^{limiting} ~~engineering~~ developmental & engineering ^{firing} ~~testing~~. At present and in the foreseeable ^{future} the only real bomber target is the Q B-17 drone, and ^{the only} fighter target is the Q F-80. ~~The~~ Logistic, if not economic considerations alone ~~prevent~~ prevent any wide scale firing program. Equally important however is the inability of the ~~Q B-17~~ archaic Q B-17 to achieve anything remotely resembling realistic target performance. A ~~missile~~ ^{system} capable of consistently destroying piston driven aircraft at 180 m.p.h. & 15,000 ft. should ~~or~~ ^{constructed} not be ~~assumed~~ capable of any similar performance against jets at Mach .8 and altitudes of 45,000 ft or higher. ~~The~~ A Q.F. 80 looks much better performancewise but ~~is~~ used as a ~~bomber~~ & results obtained are only valid for

armament system's ~~perform~~ performance against fighter type aircraft ~~for~~ for the small size of the QF-80 makes it a simulated target for bomber performance ~~unless~~ and ~~any~~ no valid conclusions can only limited conclusions should be drawn about its performance against bombers unless some form of miss distance information is obtained.

A simulated system consists of ~~the following~~ a system target moving at rates and paths corresponding to ~~re expected~~ real target ~~path~~ trajectories and supplying an aim point (a radar reflector for the GAR-1 & 1A or an infra red source ~~to~~ for the GAR 1B) ^{and a} system for recording miss distance. Once data has been obtained using this configuration ~~the data~~ this information may then be ~~be~~ applied to targets of any configuration thus obtaining valid kill probabilities. If miss distance information is accurate this method has ~~little~~ no little or disadvantage over a real target configuration while its advantages are many. ~~that~~ ~~recently no~~ Firing error indicators are a critical item here but it now appears that several ~~feasible~~ workable systems will soon be available for use with this

missile series. The single outstanding advantage of a simulated is that target size can be drastically removed-reduced. With radar-optic scoring ~~the~~ the target becomes nothing more than an object to supply an aim point ~~and~~ for interceptor and strike camera.

The following is brief description of ~~several~~ proposed systems for use with the Falcon. Number one is ~~the real target~~ ^{uses} ~~using~~ ^{other drones} ~~drone~~ QB-17 & QF-80. Number ~~two~~ ³ is a proposal of Northrop which is presently being implemented. Numbers ~~two~~ ⁴ and ~~three~~ ⁵, ~~and~~ ⁶ four, and five are proposals of my own ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~hinging~~ largely on the radar-optic scoring system.

The idea of using drones as targets is a simple and logical ~~one~~ but fraught with difficulties. As previously noted the QB-17 is a good target ~~size~~ ^{size} ~~was~~ from stand point but extremely unrealistic in performance. The QF-80 is reasonably ~~more~~ realistic in performance but will need some form of F.C.D. if results are to be applied to bomber type targets. Assuming that ~~the~~ ~~the~~ the Q-2 will ~~be~~ someday be available it should be included in this series. With good fairly high

Number two is merely an elaboration of to present two systems

$$\begin{array}{r} .26 \\ 30 \overline{) 8.0} \\ \underline{6.0} \\ 2.0 \end{array}$$

5

hit probabilities that these missiles apparently will have any large scale program is obviously impossible. There simply are not enough drones to expend. Assuming a hit probability of 30% eight drones would be required to fire 25 missiles, a relatively small sample. Money wise this would be on the order of \$2,000,000 for targets alone. This will ~~be rep~~ have to be repeated with the G.A.R. I-B.

If QF-80's are used the hit probability and unit cost go down ~~to~~ and performance goes up however ~~as F.B.D.~~ the cost will again be extremely high and with ~~be~~ operations will be restricted ~~for~~ by numbers of drones available. It is obvious that only a small number of firings can be made utilizing either of these aircraft types and in the case of the QF-17 they will be a very unrealistic firing.

A small, high speed drone such as the Q-2 would be a better approach, especially if purely operational losses can be kept low. It is a simulated target and of course will need firing error equipment. The operation of such a vehicle will of course require a considerable amount of supporting equipment

Hit probability ~~should~~ be low with such a target.

+ personell for its operation. The state of development
~~of~~ of,

of this target series seems to be still indeterminate.
 available + proposed

Of ^{available + proposed} drones in general it can be said that
 their operation & utilization will be expensive and
 will require ~~that~~ supporting effort.

~~the use of a tow reel seems~~

A tow system utilizing enough cable
 to place the target a safe distance from tow craft
 is another simple and